

# **KINGS LAW REPORTS**

## **(ALL SC/NOVEL CA)**

**(1999) KLR VOL 7 PART 87 pp. 2075 - 2248**

**JULY 1999**

**Dedicated to the King of kings**

**O. O. NOEL ESQ. Chief Editor**

### **INDEX OF CASES REPORTED**

1. Akhigbe v. Ifeanyi Chukwu Osundu Co. Ltd. p. 2075
2. Badmus v. Abegunde p. 2109
3. Oyovbiare v. Omamurhomu p. 2131
4. Ebokam v. Ekwenibe & Sons Trading Co. Ltd p. 2153
5. Jov v. Dom p. 2181
6. Ajero v. Ugorji p. 2199
7. Maigoro v. Garba p. 2225

iv **INDEX OF SUBJECT MATTER IN (1999) 7 KLR**

**APPEALS** - Competency - Grounds of appeal - How to determine whether a ground of appeal is competent - Having regard to the provisions of section 213 of the 1979 Constitution (as amended). *Maigoro v. Garba* p. 2225

**APPEALS** - Concurrent Findings of fact - Supreme Court will not interfere with such findings - Unless the findings are perverse. *Akhigbe v. Ifeanyi Chukwu Osundu Co. Ltd.* p. 2075

**APPEALS** - Damages - Substitution - Of an award of general damages for special damages not proved - By the Court of Appeal - When the respondent did not cross-appeal - Is erroneous. *Badmus v. Abegunde* p. 2109

**APPEALS** - Fair hearing - Order made suo motu - A Court has no power to make an order - Which has not been asked for - And which the person against whom it is made - Had no opportunity of resisting. *Badmus v. Abegunde* p. 2109

**APPEALS** - Final order - Time-within which to appeal - Order of striking out in the instant case is a final decision - And the appellant had three months according to s. 25 (2) (a) of the Court of Appeal Act - Within which to appeal - The appeal is therefore competent. *Ebokam v. Ekwenibe & Sons Trading Co. Ltd* p. 2153

**APPEALS** - Ground of appeal - Based on facts - A complaint about the general appraisal of the evidence led at the trial - Is a ground of fact. *Maigoro v. Garba* p. 2225

**APPEALS** - Ground of mixed law and fact - Where the appellate court would have to reexamine - The facts found at the trial - And which formed the basis of the judgment - It is a ground of mixed law and fact. *Maigoro v. Garba* p. 2225

**APPEALS** - Grounds of appeal - Incompetence - Where the issues formulated for consideration - Hardly follow the grounds of appeal - And the grounds are incompetent - The appeal cannot be competently considered. *Jov v. Dom* p. 2181

**APPEALS** - Jurisdiction - Grounds of appeal - Which are only of facts or mixed law and facts - The Supreme Court has no jurisdiction to entertain such an appeal - Unless leave has been obtained. *Maigoro v. Garba* p. 2225

**APPEALS** - Preliminary Objection - Notice of - Which was included in the respondent's brief - And was duly served on the appellant - Where he failed to react to the notice - He can not be heard to complain that the appeal was determined - Upon the grounds set out in the notice. *Maigoro v. Garba* p. 2225

**APPEALS** - Preliminary Objection - Raised in the respondent's brief - Is not in accordance with the Provisions - Of Order 2 Rule 9 of the Supreme Court Rules - But the notice of preliminary objection is not rendered ineffective. *Maigoro v. Garba* p. 2225

**CONSTITUTIONAL LAW** - Appeal - Ground of Law - A sole ground of law - Is sufficient to sustain an appeal - Having regard to the provisions of ss. 220(i) (b) and 221(i) of the 1979 constitution. *Ebokam v. Ekwenibe & Sons Trading Co. Ltd* p. 2153

**DAMAGES** - Admissible evidence - On the amount of damages - Which remains uncontroverted - Unless the evidence is palpably incredible - The Court has no reason not to accept it. *Akhigbe v. Ifeanyi Chukwu Osundu Co. Ltd.* p. 2075

**DAMAGES** - Appeals - General damages - Awarded by the trial court - When an appellate Court will interfere with such an award. *Akhigbe v. Ifeanyi Chukwu Osundu Co. Ltd.* p. 2075

vi **INDEX OF SUBJECT MATTER IN (1999) 7 KLR**

**DAMAGES** - Appeals - General damages - Where there was no pleading in support of general damages - And there was no appeal on the issue - There is nothing upon which general damages of any sort can be based. Badmus v. Abegunde p. 2109

**DAMAGES** - Distinction - Special damages - And general damages - The distinction between them. Badmus v. Abegunde p. 2109

**DAMAGES** - General damages - Adequate compensation - For physical pains and accompanying distress - How to correctly assess. Akhigbe v. Ifeanyi Chukwu Osundu Co. Ltd. p. 2075

**DAMAGES** - General damages - Fall in the value of money - How the Court is to deal with the situation - In the award of general damages. Akhigbe v. Ifeanyi Chukwu Osundu Co. Ltd. p. 2075

**DAMAGES** - General damages - Negligence - The general damages must depend on some collateral consequence - Of the negligent act of the appellants in the present case. Badmus v. Abegunde p. 2109

**DAMAGES** - General damages - Statistics - Of the depreciation of the naira - Where there is no direct evidence of such statistics - Although it is known to have occurred - The trial Court should take a mental account of it - But masking its effect in making a reasonable award. Akhigbe v. Ifeanyi Chukwu Osundu Co. Ltd. p. 2075

**DAMAGES** - Nominal damages - The meaning thereof. Badmus v. Abegunde p. 2109

**DAMAGES** - Special damages - Mitigation of loss - Contention that the Cross Appellant as a civil servant - Ought to have mitigated his loss - By receiving treatment in a government hospital as opposed to a private one - Lacks merit. Akhigbe v. Ifeanyi Chukwu Osundu Co. Ltd. p. 2075

**DAMAGES** - Special damages - Proof - Standard of - Special damages have to be strictly proved - The trial judge is not entitled to embark upon his own assessment - Using conceived parameter in place of evidence. *Badmus v. Abegunde* p. 2109

**DAMAGES** - Special damages - Prospective expenditure - Money actually spent before the time of hearing a claim for damages for injuries suffered - Comes under special damages - But any prospective Expenditure is claimable as part of general damages. *Akhigbe v. Ifeanyi Chukwu Osundu Co. Ltd.* p. 2075

**EVIDENCE** - Burden of proof - In civil cases - Rests upon that party - Who substantially asserts the affirmative before evidence is gone into. *Oyovbiare v. Omamurhomu* p. 2131

**INJUNCTION** - Land law - Claim for injunction - Is not necessarily bound to fail - After a claim for a declaration of title fails. *Ajero v. Ugorji* p. 2199

**JUDGMENTS** - Appeal - findings of facts - By trial court - The attitude of appellate court to such findings. *Jov v. Dom* p. 2181

**JUDGMENTS** - Arbitration - Final decision - Applications relating to Arbitration proceedings - Where the trial judge held that the applications failed and struck them out - And the parties had no other rights anywhere to claim regarding the arbitration - The decision must be treated as final. *Ebokam v. Ekwenibe & Sons Trading Co. Ltd* p. 2153

**JUDGMENTS** - Decision - Of a court of first instance - Tests for determining whether it is interlocutory or final - The nature of the order test in *Bozson's* case - Has been approved and applied. *Ebokam v. Ekwenibe & Sons Trading Co. Ltd* p. 2153

**JUDGMENTS** - Interlocutory decision - Or final decision - How to determine - Whether a decision is final or interlocutory. *Ebokam v.*

Ekwenibe & Sons Trading Co. Ltd p. 2153

**JUDGMENTS** - Orders - Non suit - Striking out - Where the learned trial judge struck out the two applications before him as having failed - And neither party succeed in his application - It has the same effect - As in an order of non-suit. Ebokam v. Ekwenibe & Sons Trading Co. Ltd p. 2153

**JUDGMENTS** - Retrial order - Finding of fact - Made by a trial court - Which was supported by evidence - The Court of Appeal was in error to have disturbed such finding - And ordered a retrial. Oyovbiare v. Omamurhomu p. 2131

**JURISDICTION** - Appeal - Issue of jurisdiction - Raised as a new issue at the Supreme Court - Although question of jurisdiction can be raised at any time in the proceedings - The proper procedure must be followed. Jov v. Dom p. 2181

**JURISDICTION** - Land Use Act - Land covered by customary right of occupancy - The Court with jurisdiction in Benue State - In respect to such land - Is the Area Court. Jov v. Dom p. 2181

**LAND LAW** - Evidence - Burden of proof - Once it is proved that the original ownership of property - Is in a party - The burden of proving that the party has been divested of the ownership - Rests on the other party. Oyovbiare v. Omamurhomu p. 2131

**LAND LAW** - Possession in law - What it means - And what constitutes evidence of possession. Ajero v. Ugorji p. 2199

**ORDERS** - Non-suit - Effect of an order of non-suit. Ebokam v. Ekwenibe & Sons Trading Co. Ltd p. 2153

**PLEADINGS** - Defence - Vicarious liability - Material allegations in the statement of claim - The appellants ought to have reacted unambiguously

to the allegations - In order to exhibit their defence to the vicarious liability alleged. Akhigbe v. Ifeanyi Chukwu Osundu Co. Ltd. p. 2075

**PLEADINGS** - What it is - And how to properly frame. Akhigbe v. Ifeanyi Chukwu Osundu Co. Ltd. p. 2075

**TRESPASS** - Claim - For damages for trespass - Is not dependent on the claim for a declaration of title - As the issues to be determined on the claim for trespass - Are independent to that on the claim for a declaration of title. Ajero v. Ugorji p. 2199

## **INDEX OF STATUTES & RULES**

Constitution of the Federal Republic of Nigeria, 1979; ss 220 (1) (b) and 221 (1) Ebokam v. Ekwenibe & Sons Trading Co. Ltd p. 2153; s. 213 (3) (as amended) Maigoro v. Garba p. 2225

Court of Appeal Act, cap 75 Laws of the Federation of Nigeria 1990 s. 25(2) Ebokam v. Ekwenibe & Sons Trading Co. Ltd p. 2153

Evidence Act s.74 Akhigbe v. Ifeanyi Chukwu Osundu Co. Ltd. p. 2075

Supreme Court Rules, O.2 r.9 Maigoro v. Garba p. 2225